IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

CLAXTON H. WILLIAMS, JR.)
Plaintiff,)
V.) No. 06-772-MJR-CJF
ROD R. BLAGOJEVICH, et al.,)
Defendants.)

ORDER

Before the Court is defendant Ahmed's motion to compel plaintiff Williams to appear to continue his deposition and answer questions posed to him regarding this action. (Doc. 74). When plaintiff failed to answer interrogatories, the defendant scheduled plaintiff's deposition. Plaintiff did not appear at the first scheduled deposition, claiming to be ill. Plaintiff eventually did appear to be deposed, but became angry and refused to answer questions posed to him. When questions were posed to plaintiff on a second occasion, he indicated he could not answer because he did not have documentation needed to refresh his memory. Plaintiff has not responded to the subject motion to compel.

Defendant Ahmed is certainly entitled to conduct discovery in this case.

Therefore, the subject motion is well taken. Plaintiff <u>must</u> submit to deposition again, and he <u>must</u> answer all questions to the beset of his recollection, regardless of whether he has all of the documentation he perceives he needs to answer the questions posed to him. Discovery has already been extended and, aside from allowing defendant Ahmed to complete plaintiff's deposition, no additional time will be allotted for discovery.

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 37(d) provides that an unjustified failure to

answer written interrogatories or questions posed during oral deposition may be punished

in a variety of ways, including dismissal of the complaint and entry of judgment by

default against a disobedient party. If plaintiff does not participate in his deposition and

answer all questions to the best of his recollection, he should anticipate that his complaint

against defendant Ahmed will be dismissed.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the subject motions (Doc. 74) is GRANTED.

On or before February 9, 2009, plaintiff shall submit to deposition by defendant Ahmed

and shall answer all questions posed to him to the best of his recollection, regardless of

whether he has all of the documentation he perceives he needs to answer the questions

posed to him.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the discovery period is reopened through

February 9, 2009, for the limited purpose of allowing defendant Ahmed to complete

discovery; defendant Ahmed is hereby **GRANTED** leave to re-depose plaintiff Williams.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the dispositive motion deadline is extended to

March 1, 2009.

No further extensions will be granted.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: January 9, 2009

s/ Clifford J. Proud

CLIFFORD J. PROUD

U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

2